1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 Kendra Silvia, individually and on behalf of all Case No.: 8 others similarly situated, 9 Plaintiff, **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT** 10 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** -V.-11 12 Midland Credit Management, Inc., and John 13 Does 1-25; 14 Defendants. 15 Plaintiff Kendra Silvia brings this Class Action Complaint by and through her attorneys, 16 Brubaker Law Group PLLC, against Defendants Midland Credit Management, Inc. ("Midland"), 17 individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the 18 19 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except 20 for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal 21 knowledge. 22 INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 23 1. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA" or "Act") was enacted in response 24 25 to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by 26 many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. §1692(a). This was because "abusive debt collection practices 27 contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and 28 1 Brubaker Law Group PLLC 14506 NE 184th Pl

14506 NE 184th Pl Woodinville, WA 98072 206-335-8746 to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* The Act concluded that "existing laws...[we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).

2. The purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to ensure "that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *Id.* § 1692(b), consumers were given a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* at § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et. seq. The Court also has pendant jurisdiction over the State law claims, if any, in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) as this is a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred and where the Plaintiff resides.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of Washington consumers under Section 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, also known as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), and
 - 6. Plaintiff is seeking damages and declaratory relief.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Washington, County of Clark.

- 8. Defendant MCM is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and as used in the FDCPA and can be served upon their registered agent, Corporation Service Company, at 300 Deschutes Way SW, STE 208 MC-CSC1, Tumwater, WA 98501.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant MCM is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 10. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Plaintiffs bring this claim on behalf of the following case, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).
 - 12. The Class consists of:
 - a. all individuals with addresses in the State of Washington;
 - b. to whom Defendant MCM sent a collection letter attempting to collect a consumer debt;
 - c. containing deceptively worded settlement offers;
 - d. which letter was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (21) days after the filing of this action.
- 13. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.
- 14. Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are the Defendants and all officer, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.
- 15. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit A, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e.
- 16. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of

the Plaintiff Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor his attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.

- 17. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - a. <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - b. <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominance over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit A violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
 - c. <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. The Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - d. Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer

lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor her counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- e. <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- 18. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil

 Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the

 Plaintiff Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class

 action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the

 controversy.
- 19. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 20. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 21. Some time prior to May 25, 2021, an obligation was allegedly incurred to creditor Synchrony Bank.

- 31. By failing to explain whether Option 3 is a settlement option or a full pay option, the Letter is false, deceptive and misleading.
- 32. In addition, if Option 3 means that the \$50 payment would be made until the debt is fully paid off, the letter is deceptive because it describes all three options as "options designed to save you money." If the debt is being paid in full under Option 3, it is not a discount program and therefore the letter is deceptive.
- 33. Defendant's collection efforts with respect to this alleged debt from Plaintiff caused Plaintiff to suffer concrete and particularized harm because the FDCPA provides Plaintiff with the legally protected right to be not to be misled or treated unfairly with respect to any action for the collection of any consumer debt.
- 34. Defendant's deceptive, misleading and unfair representations with respect to its collection effort were material misrepresentations that affected and frustrated Plaintiff's ability to intelligently respond to Defendant's collection efforts because Plaintiff could not adequately respond to the Defendant's demand for payment of this debt.
- 35. Defendant's actions created an appreciable risk to Plaintiff of being unable to properly respond or handle Defendant's debt collection.
- 36. Plaintiff was confused and misled to her detriment by the statements in the dunning letter, and relied on the contents of the letter to her detriment.
- 37. As a result of Defendants' deceptive, misleading and unfair debt collection practices, Plaintiff has been damaged.

COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq.

- 38. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 39. Defendants' debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 40. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - 41. Defendant violated §1692e:
 - f. As the Letter it is open to more than one reasonable interpretation, at least one of which is inaccurate in violation of §1692e(2).
 - g. By making a false and misleading representation in violation of §1692e(10).
- 42. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

43. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Kendra Silvia, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, demands judgment from Defendants Midland as follows:

Case 3:21-cv-05514-JRC Document 1 Filed 07/19/21 Page 10 of 10

1	1.	Declaring that this action	n is properly ma	aintainable as a Class Action a	nd certifying
2	Plaintiff as Class representative, and Michael Brubaker, Esq. as Class Counsel;				
3	2.	Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;			
4	3.	Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;			
5	4.	Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and			
6 7	expenses;				
8	5.	Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and			
9	6.	Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may			
10	deem just and proper.				
11	deem just	and proper.			
12	Dated: June 30, 2021		Re	Respectfully submitted,	
13				Michael Brubaker ichael Brubaker, Esq.	
14			W	SBA #49804	
15				rubaker Law Group PLLC 1506 NE 184 th Pl	
16				oodinville, WA 98072 06) 335-8746	
17			•	ichael@brubakerlawgroup.cor	n
18			At	torneys for Plaintiff	
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26 27					
28					
20			10		